REPORTS

Analysis of the *sericin*1 promoter and assisted detection of exogenous gene expression efficiency in the silkworm *Bombyx mori* L.

Lupeng Ye, Qiujie Qian, Yuyu Zhang, Zhengying You, Jiaqian Che, Jia Song & Boxiong Zhong

College of Animal Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, P. R. China.

In genetics, the promoter is one of the most important regulatory elements controlling the spatiotemporal expression of a target gene. However, most studies have focused on core or proximal promoter regions, and information on regions that are more distant from the 5'-flanking region of the proximal promoter is often lacking. Here, approximately 4-kb of the *sericin*1 (*Ser*1) promoter was predicted to contain many potential transcriptional factor binding sites (TFBSs). Transgenic experiments have revealed that more TFBSs included in the promoter improved gene transcription. However, multi-copy proximal *Ser*1 promoter combinations did not improve gene expression at the transcriptional level. Instead, increasing the promoter copy number repressed transcription. Furthermore, a correlation analysis between two contiguous genes, *firefly luciferase (FLuc)* and *EGFP*, was conducted at the transcriptional level; a significant correlation was obtained regardless of the insertion site. The ELISA results also revealed a significant correlation between the transcriptional and translational *EGFP* levels. Therefore, the exogenous gene expression level can be predicted by simply detecting an adjacent *EGFP*. In conclusion, our results provide important insights for further investigations into the molecular mechanisms underlying promoter function. Additionally, a new approach was developed to quickly screen transgenic strains that highly express exogenous genes.

he development of transgenic technology constituted a crucial milestone in the history of life science, and is frequently used to alter biological traits and produce valuable foreign proteins in various organisms. Gene transcription is regulated by multiple *cis*-acting elements, such as promoters, enhancers, insulators, and silencers¹⁻³. The promoter is the closest and most important element regulating target gene transcription. Universally, the promoter is a region of essentially contiguous DNA sequence in the immediate vicinity of the transcription start site (TSS), which initiates the transcription of a specific gene. However, the transcription of diverse genes uses distinct promoter types⁴, and there is no universal promoter that suits all types of genes. The core promoter^{5,6}, the most important part of an active promoter, is a short sequence around the TSS. It acts as a platform for the assembly of transcription pre-initiation complexes (PICs) that include RNA polymerase II (pol II) and certain basal or general transcription factors (TFs), such as TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIE, TFIIF, and TFIIH^{7,8}. Eventually, pol II accurately and efficiently directs transcription initiation^{9,10}. The reason for the anchoring of PICs onto the core promoter is the existence of several core promoter elements, such as the classical TATA box¹¹, the Initiator (Inr)¹²⁻¹⁴, and downstream core promoter element (DPE)¹⁵. In the current study, proximal promoters were selected to drive target gene transcription *in vivo* or *in vitro*. The proximal promoter controls gene transcription even though it may not include all regulatory elements⁴. Nevertheless, regulatory elements distant from the proximal promoters may be necessary to enhance the promoter's strength. We speculated that appropriately extending the length of the 5'-flanking sequence of a proximal promoter may improve gene transcription efficiency. We also speculated that combining multi-copy promoters may similarly contribute to enriching the PICs and enhance transcription efficiency.

Foreign genes integrate into the organism's genome and induce position geneects. These events are influenced gby the surrounding host chromatin and result in an exogenous gene that will demonstrate dramatically dineerent expression patterns in various insertion sites

to identify the organisms with high foreign gene expression level potential, particularly in development of bioreactors to produce as much foreign protein as possible. This type of assessment is a timeconsuming and labor-intensive process. A marker gene is generally used in transgenic experiments to screen positive individuals. Therefore, we reasoned that if the foreign- and marker genes are adjacent and in the same transcriptional direction in transgenic vectors, they may be similarly affected by host chromatin. As a result, there should be a significant linear correlation between the expression levels of these two genes, even in different insertion sites.



Figure 2 | Structure diagram of transgenic vectors. Ser1, Ser1-2 k, and Ser1-4 k indicate the ~0.5 kb, ~2 kb and ~4 kb of Ser1 gene 5'-flanking sequence, respectively. The 2×, 3× and 4× Ser1 represent 2, 3 and 4 copies of Ser1 proximal promoter, respectively. *RLuc, renilla luciferase*; *FLuc, firefly luciferase*; A3, *Bombyx mori* A3 cytoplasmic actin gene promoter; *EGFP*, enhanced green fluorescence protein; *SV40*, 3'-untranslated sequences; ITR, inverted terminal repeats of *PB* transposon.

between their expression levels (Fig. 5c). However, the correlation among the pBL1F, pBL2F, and pBL4F series transgenic silkworms was lower compared to the previous four series of transgenic silkworms (Fig. 5b, d). This finding may be due to the different lengths of the *Ser1* promoter in front of *FLuc*, which resulted in different *Ser1* promoter strengths.

These findings suggested that FLuc expression was significantly correlated with EGFP expression at the transcriptional level. To determine whether the transcriptional and translational levels were also significantly correlated at the same developmental stage, we selected three strains with low and relatively high EGFP transcription from the pBL4F and pBC2R series transgenic silkworms, respectively (Fig. 6a). The ELISA results suggested that there was a highly significant correlation between the transcriptional and translational EGFP levels (Fig. 6b). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the expression level of a target gene (FLuc) in the host can be simply predicted by the expression level of an adjacent marker gene (EGFP).

Discussion

It is important to develop high-efficiency bioreactors that meet societal demands. Identifying efficient cis-acting regulators, such as promoters and enhancers, is commonly used to improve foreign gene expression. Previous studies have demonstrated that TATA boxcontaining promoters are more constrained with slow evolution rates. The preferred distance between a TATA-box and TSS is 30 or 31 bp, and this promoter type generally has high tissue specificity in driving gene expression⁴. These findings are highly consistent with our results, and we further validated the characteristics of the TATA box-containing promoter. Promoter activity is closely related to its sequence length; longer promoters are superior regardless of the quantity and type of potential TFBSs (Supplementary Table S1). The 192 potential TFBSs in the middle and distal Ser1 promoter regions further enhanced promoter activity and facilitated downstream gene transcription. Therefore, we believe that the TFBSs are important parameters for improving transgene expression efficiency. However, lengthening the upstream regions of the Ser1 promoter did



Figure 3 | Comparison of driving activities of the *Ser*1 promoters. (a) Differences in *FLuc* transcription driven by different lengths of the *Ser*1 promoter. The final relative transcriptional level was calculated as *FLuc* relative expression level/*RLuc* relative expression level. (b) Differential transcription of *RLuc* driven by different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. Similarly, the final relative expression level was calculated as *RLuc* relative expression level. (b) Differential transcription of *RLuc* driven by different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. Similarly, the final relative expression level was calculated as *RLuc* relative expression level. (b) Differential transcription of *RLuc* driven by different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. Similarly, the final relative expression level was calculated as *RLuc* relative expression level. (b) Differential transcription of *RLuc* relative expression level. (c) Differential transcription of *RLuc* driven by different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. Similarly, the final relative expression level was calculated as *RLuc* relative expression level. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. Similarly, the final relative expression level was calculated as *RLuc* relative expression level. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Differential transcription different copy numbers of proximal *Ser*1 promoter. (c) Different copy numbers of proxim



Figure 4 | **Transcriptional level of** *EGFP* **in different integration sites.** The inverse PCR showed that the *PB* integration sites were different in the seven pBC2R transgenic strains (Supplementary Table S3). The *EGFP* mRNA levels differed among these strains due to position effects from the host chromatin. Data are presented as the mean \pm SD of three separate experiments performed in triplicate.

not demonstrate a pronounced improvement in the promoter activity; the promoter activity improved by approximately 1.5 times after using a 4-kb length *Ser*1 promoter. Currently, using efficient enhancers is a common method for enhancing the efficiency of exogenous gene expression in silkworms. However, expression efficiency remains very low. We believe that the precondition for improving transgene expression efficiency is to find a high-efficiency promoter. Therefore, promoter activity will be significantly amplified after the combined use of enhancers, and using the intrinsic functional elements of the promoter as much as possible is an efficient method for improving the level of exogenous gene expression.

Surprisingly, the multi-copy promoter combinations had an adverse effect on gene transcription. The activity of weakened multi-copy promoter combinations may generate competition among the same promoters. This possibility is reflected in the simultaneous competition for the same TFs and pol II. We speculate that this phenomenon will induce limited substrates that dispersedly combine on promoters, with the promoter closest to the downstream gene serving as the main driving force of gene transcription (Fig. 7). As a result, the promoters function at a sub-optimal level due to a lack of PICs (composed of TFs and pol II) on the promoter closest to the gene. In addition, each proximal promoter contains a TSS (Fig. 7), implying another possibility that competition also exists among multiple TSSs. However, more detailed studies are necessary to investigate these two possible molecular mechanisms.

Transgenic vectors often contain two parts, the marker gene and the exogenous gene expression cassettes. Our design approach was different from the standard approach, which does not take into consideration the special demands of transgenic vector construction. The marker gene has only been used to select transgenic animals



Figure 5 | Correlation analysis between the marker (*EGFP*) and target (*FLuc*) genes at the transcriptional level. (a, c) Transcription pattern comparison of *EGFP* and *FLuc* in the pBC (including pBL1F) series transgenic silkworms. A significant correlation was revealed between the *EGFP* and *FLuc* expression levels with the two expression frames assembled in close proximity. (b, d) However, the correlation between *EGFP* and *FLuc* in the pBL series transgenic silkworms was relatively lower; the *Ser* 1 promoters in front of *FLuc* were different (Fig. 2a), which affected the transcriptional level of *FLuc*. The qRT-PCR data are shown as the mean \pm SD of three separate experiments performed in triplicate. **P < 0.01.

in previous studies. However, another important function of the marker gene is to assist in determining the exogenous gene expression level, as described above. Our findings revealed that if the two expression cassettes are constructed close to one another with the same transcriptional orientation, then there is a significant linear correlation between their transcriptional levels, even if they are in different insertion sites. Furthermore, the transcriptional and translational levels of the marker genes were significantly correlated; therefore, it is possible to determine foreign gene expression levels by simply assessing the level of marker gene expression. Marker genes are widely used in life science studies and can be easily and conveniently measured using various methods. In conclusion, the assisted assessment of the expression level of an exogenous gene is a promising new method for the large-scale screening of transgenic strains characterized by a high-expression capacity for foreign proteins. Additionally, it can also be used for the real-time monitoring of gene expression patterns to more conveniently and thoroughly understand gene functions. Furthermore, it provides a foundation for further optimizing transgenesis technology.

Methods

Lan10, the multivoltine with diapause ability silkworm strain, and *Qiufeng*

normalized with a reference gene, Rp49 gene (accession number: NM_001098282). Each sample had three independent replicates, and the gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S4) were designed using the Primer Premier 5.0 software (Premier Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA).

С . Genomic DNA was isolated from the positive transgenic silkworms as described above. The inverse PCR analysis was conducted as previously described²², 1 µg of each genomic DNA was digested with Sau3A I at 37°C for 2 h and then self-circularized overnight at 16°C using T4 DNA ligase (TAKARA BIO INC., Otsu, Shiga, Japan). The ligated products (approximately 50 ng DNA) were amplified using EX Taq polymerase (TAKARA BIO INC., Otsu, Shiga, Japan) and the designed primers (Supplementary Table S4) with 4 min denaturing cycle at 94°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 58°C, and 2 min at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. Amplified products were sequenced after cloning into pMD19-T to determine the insertion sites.

(ELI A). The middle silk glands were dissected from the day 3 fifth instar larvae of transgenic silkworms and were thoroughly grinded in liquid nitrogen after adding ice-cold $2 \times$ cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) at a ratio of 100 mg of tissue to 400 µL buffer. Next, the samples were incubated on ice for 30 min with vortexing several times, centrifuged at $15,000 \times g$ at 4° C for 10 min, and then the supernatant was collected in a new tube. The protein concentration was determined using a DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, California, USA). The tissue lysates were stored at -80°C in single-use aliquots. Each tissue lysate was diluted to the same concentration (10 mg/mL), and 1 mg of total protein was used for the ELISA assay. EGFP was detected using the PathScan® Total GFP Sandwich ELISA Kit (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Finally, the absorbance readings at 450 nm were measured using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA).

- 1. Blackwood, E. M. & Kadonaga, J. T. Going the distance: a current view of enhancer action. Science 281, 60-63 (1998).
- West, A. G., Gaszner, M. & Felsenfeld, G. Insulators: many functions, many mechanisms. Genes Dev 16, 271-288 (2002).
- Bulger, M. & Groudine, M. Looping versus linking: toward a model for long-3. distance gene activation. Genes Dev 13, 2465-2477 (1999).
- Carninci, P. et al. Genome-wide analysis of mammalian promoter architecture 4. and evolution. Nat Genet 38, 626-635 (2006).
- Smale, S. T. & Kadonaga, J. T. The RNA polymerase II core promoter. Annu Rev 5. Biochem 72, 449-479 (2003)
- Thomas, M. C. & Chiang, C. M. The general transcription machinery and general 6. cofactors. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 41, 105-178 (2006).
- Matsui, T., Segall, J., Weil, P. A. & Roeder, R. G. Multiple factors required for 7 accurate initiation of transcription by purified RNA polymerase II. J Biol Chem 255, 11992-11996 (1980).
- Zawel, L. & Reinberg, D. Initiation of transcription by RNA polymerase II: a 8. multi-step process. Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol 44, 67-108 (1993).
- 9. Struhl, K. Promoters, activator proteins, and the mechanism of transcriptional initiation in yeast. Cell 49, 295-297 (1987).
- 10. Weis, L. & Reinberg, D. Transcription by RNA polymerase II: initiator-directed formation of transcription-competent complexes. FASEB J 6, 3300-3309 (1992).
- Breathnach, R. & Chambon, P. Organization and expression of eucaryotic split 11 genes coding for proteins. Annu Rev Biochem 50, 349-383 (1981).
- 12. Ohler, U., Liao, G. C., Niemann, H. & Rubin, G. M. Computational analysis of core promoters in the Drosophila genome. Genome Biol 3, RESEARCH0087 (2002).
- 13. FitzGerald, P. C., Sturgill, D., Shyakhtenko, A., Oliver, B. & Vinson, C. Comparative genomics of Drosophila and human core promoters. Genome Biol 7, R53 (2006).
- 14. Gershenzon, N. I., Trifonov, E. N. & Ioshikhes, I. P. The features of Drosophila core promoters revealed by statistical analysis. BMC Genomics 7, 161 (2006)
- 15. Burke, T. W. & Kadonaga, J. T. The downstream core promoter element, DPE, is conserved from Drosophila to humans and is recognized by TAFII60 of Drosophila. *Genes Dev* 11, 3020–3031 (1997). 16. Spradling, A. C. & Rubin, G. M. The effect of chromosomal position on the
- expression of the Drosophila xanthine dehydrogenase gene. Cell 34, 47-57 (1983).
- 17. al-Shawi, R., Kinnaird, J., Burke, J. & Bishop, J. O. Expression of a foreign gene in a line of transgenic mice is modulated by a chromosomal position effect. Mol Cell Biol 10, 1192–1198 (1990).
- 18. Markstein, M., Pitsouli, C., Villalta, C., Celniker, S. E. & Perrimon, N. Exploiting position effects and the gypsy retrovirus insulator to engineer precisely expressed transgenes. *Nat Genet* 40, 476–483 (2008).
- 19. Lewis, E. B. The phenomenon of position effect. Adv Genet 3, 73-115 (1950).
- 20. Levis, R., Hazelrigg, T. & Rubin, G. M. Effects of genomic position on the expression of transduced copies of the white gene of Drosophila. Science 229, 558-561 (1985).

- 21. Rubin, G. M. & Spradling, A. C. Genetic transformation of Drosophila with transposable element vectors. Science 218, 348-353 (1982).
- 22. Tamura, T. et al. Germline transformation of the silkworm Bombyx mori L. using a piggyBac transposon-derived vector. Nat Biotechnol 18, 81-84 (2000).
- 23. Tomita, M. et al. Transgenic silkworms produce recombinant human type III procollagen in cocoons. Nat Biotechnol 21, 52-56 (2003).
- 24. Adachi, T., Tomita, M., Shimizu, K., Ogawa, S. & Yoshizato, K. Generation of hybrid transgenic silkworms that express Bombyx mori prolyl-hydroxylase alphasubunits and human collagens in posterior silk glands: Production of cocoons that contained collagens with hydroxylated proline residues. J Biotechnol 126, 205-219 (2006).
- 25. Hino, R., Tomita, M. & Yoshizato, K. The generation of germline transgenic silkworms for the production of biologically active recombinant fusion proteins of fibroin and human basic fibroblast growth factor. Biomaterials 27, 5715-5724 (2006)
- 26. Ogawa, S., Tomita, M., Shimizu, K. & Yoshizato, K. Generation of a transgenic silkworm that secretes recombinant proteins in the sericin layer of cocoon: production of recombinant human serum albumin. J Biotechnol 128, 531-544 (2007)
- 27. Yanagisawa, S. et al. Improving cell-adhesive properties of recombinant Bombyx mori silk by incorporation of collagen or fibronectin derived peptides produced by transgenic silkworms. Biomacromolecules 8, 3487-3492 (2007)
- 28. Iizuka, M. et al. Production of a recombinant mouse monoclonal antibody in transgenic silkworm cocoons. FEBS J 276, 5806-5820 (2009).
- 29. Tateno, M. et al. Production and characterization of the recombinant human muopioid receptor from transgenic silkworms. J Biochem 145, 37-42 (2009).
- Wen, H. et al. Transgenic silkworms (Bombyx mori) produce recombinant spider 30 dragline silk in cocoons. Mol Biol Rep 37, 1815-1821 (2010).
- 31. Okamoto, H., Ishikawa, E. & Suzuki, Y. Structural analysis of sericin genes. Homologies with fibroin gene in the 5' flanking nucleotide sequences. J Biol Chem 257, 15192-15199 (1982).
- 32. Heinemeyer, T. et al. Databases on transcriptional regulation: TRANSFAC, TRRD and COMPEL. Nucleic Acids Res 26, 362-367 (1998).
- 33. Reese, M. G. Application of a time-delay neural network to promoter annotation in the Drosophila melanogaster genome. Comput Chem 26, 51-56 (2001).
- 34. Zhong, B., Li, J., Chen, J., Ye, J. & Yu, S. Comparison of transformation efficiency of piggyBac transposon among three different silkworm Bombyx mori Strains. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 39, 117-122 (2007).
- 35. Wang, S. H. et al. Quantitative proteomic and transcriptomic analyses of molecular mechanisms associated with low silk production in silkworm Bombyx mori. J Proteome Res 13, 735-751 (2014).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Toshiki Tamura (National Institute of Sericultural and Entomological Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan) for providing the piggyBac transposon pPIGA3EGFP and helper plasmid. This work is supported by the grants from the National Basic Research Program of China (No. 2012CB114601), Projects of Zhejiang Provincial Science and Technology Plans (No. 2013C32048, No. 2012C12910).

Author contributions

L.Y. designed and conducted experiments, performed data analysis and wrote the paper. Q.Q. conducted experiments and performed data analysis. Y.Z. and Z.Y. conducted experiments. J.C. and J.S. reared and harvested all the samples. B.Z. conceived, designed and conducted experiments, performed data analysis, and revised the manuscript. All authors read and revised manuscript before submission.

Additional information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/ scientificreports

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Ye, L. et al. Analysis of the sericin1 promoter and assisted detection of exogenous gene expression efficiency in the silkworm Bombyx mori L. Sci. Rep. 5, 8301; DOI:10.1038/srep08301 (2015).



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/