

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/10504648)

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fs

Protection of Procambarus clarkii against white spot syndrome virus using inactivated WSSV

Fei Zhu^a, Huahua Du^a, Zhi-Guo Miao^b, Hai-Zhi Quan^a, Zi-Rong Xu^{a,}*

^a Ke Laborator of Molecular Animal Nutrition, Ministr of Education, Feed Science Institute, Zhejiang Uni ersit, Hang hou 310029, PR China ^b School of Animal Science, Henan Institute of Science and Technolog, XinXiang 453003, PR China

article info

Article histor : Received 1 Januar 2009 Received in revised **f**orm 2009_ 5 Februar Accepted 21 Februar 2009 Available online 4 March 2009

Ke ords: White spot syndrome virus Procambarus clarkii Vaccination **BEI** Inactivate

ABSTRACT

White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) is a highly pathogenic and prevalent virus infecting shrimp and other crustaceans. The potentiality of binary eth lenimine (BEI)-inactivated WSSV against WSSV in crayfish, Procambarus clarkii, was investigated in this stud_e Efficac_e of BEI-inactivated WSSV was tested by vaccination trials followed by challenge of crayfish with WSSV. The crayfish injected with BEI-inactivated WSSV showed a better survival ($P < 0.05$) to WSSV on the 7th and 21st day post-vaccination (dpv) compared to the control. Calculated relative percent survival (RPS) values were $\forall x$ and 60% on the 7th and 21st dpv for 2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV, and 63%, 30% on 7th and 21st dpv for 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV. However, heat-inactivated WSSV did not provide protection from WSSV even on 7th dpv. In the inactivation process WSSV especiall their envelope proteins ma be changed as happened to 3 mM BEI and heat-inactivated WSSV particles. These results indicate the protective efficacy of BEI-inactivated WSSV lies on the integrit_e of envelope proteins of WSSV and the possibilite of BEI-inactivated WSSV to protect P. clarkii from WSS

- 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. I c

Electron microscop (EM) showed that white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) is enveloped, bacilliform in shape and have a tail-like appendage at one end [\[1\]](#page-4-0). The virus contains double stranded DNA with an estimated $\overline{\mathfrak{sl}}$ e of 292967 bp, with 181 open reading frames consisting of 39 structural proteins [\[2–4\].](#page-4-0) However, different genome si es have been reported from diverse virus isolates and large genome sequences have been reported from virus isolates from China and Thailand [\[5\].](#page-4-0) White spot syndrome virus has a broad host range within Decapoda crustaceans, including penaeid shrimp and cray on [\[6–8\].](#page-4-0) White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) belongs to the new virus famil_Nima *iridae*, genus Whispo *irus* [\[9\].](#page-4-0) In China, production losses of 80% of farmed shrimp were attributed to WSSV [\[10,11\].](#page-4-0)

Crustaceans do not possess an adaptive immune system, but now it is doubted for some investigation [\[12,13\]](#page-4-0). A recent stud vaccinated crayfish surviving from e perimental WSSV infections showed that it possess a resistance against WSSV [\[14–18\].](#page-4-0) Of the viral structural proteins, envelope proteins often plawital roles in

virus entr_and assembl_[\[19–21\]](#page-4-0). Vaccination using viral proteins, especiall VP28, has been reported to offer shrimp protection against WSSV infection [\[22–24\]](#page-4-0). However, a neutrall ation assa with the combination of antibodies against different envelope proteins showed that a combination of VP36B and VP31 antibodies could strongly inhibit WSSV infection in cray sh. It revealed that multiple envelope proteins are involved in WSSV infection in cra_{sh} during this process [\[25\].](#page-4-0)

Furthermore, immunostimulation of shrimp with inactivated vibrio have been reported to provide some protection [\[26\]](#page-4-0). The shrimp intramuscularl_ vaccinated with formalin-inactivated WSSV can induce a resistance to the virus of intramuscular (IM) injection on the 10th da_post-vaccination [\[27\].](#page-4-0) These reports suggest that some of envelope proteins can induce an immune response and protect shrimp against WSSV. Thus inactivated WSSV will be a good vaccine to shrimp but inactivating with formalin and heat are not good method. Binar_eth_lenimine (BEI) is a kind of a iridines and formed by the cycli, ation of 2-chloroeth lamine h_drochloride (BEA). BEI is known to alk_late nucleic acids but do not damage the protein of inactivated virus in the concentration of 1 mM [\[28,29\].](#page-4-0) In veterinar_medicine BEI is the preferred inactivating agent for producing vaccines containing animal viruses with DNA or RNA genomes [\[30–32\].](#page-4-0) Although chemical agents and ph_sical methods have been studied on the inactivation of WSSV

Corresponding author. Tel.: $+86$ 571 8609 1820; fa : $+86$ 571 8699 4963. E-mail address: $\frac{1}{2}$ r u@ ju.edu.cn (Z.-R. Xu).

^{1050-4648/\$} see front matter © 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2009.02.022

[\[33,34\]](#page-4-0), but envelope proteins of inactivated virus was damaged when WSSV was inactivated. Our stud_was carried out to e plore the possibilit of protecting Procambarus clarkii from WSSV infection b_vaccination with BEI-inactivated WSSV.

$$
2. Ma^{\dagger} a a
$$

 $2.1.$ Cra sh

Crayfish P. clarkii, appro imatel 20 g and 8 cm each, were reared at 25 ± 1 °C. The were kept in tanks with sand-Atered, **@** one-tréated and ow¶through freshwater and fed with commercial pellet feed at 5% of bod_weight per da_Walking legs from randoml_selected individuals were subjected to PCR assa_s to ensure that the cray on were WSSV-free before e perimental challenge.

2.2. Measurement of iral infecti it (ID_{50})

The infectivit (lethal dose 50%: LD₅₀) of WSSV was used as the criterion for the **v**irus inactivation tests. White spot s**yndrome** virus-infected shrimp, Fennerpenaeus chinensis, were collected from shrimp farms located near Ningbo, China. Ten grams of infected tissues (gills and tail muscle) were homogenied in 500 ml TNE buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, 400 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.5) containing a combination of protease inhibitors (1 mM phen \pm meth_**l**sulphon_l uoride (PMSF), 1 mM ben amidine, and 1 mM Na₂S₂O3), and then centrifuged at 10,000 \times g for 10 min at 4 °C. After **Altering b**_{in} lon net (400 mesh), this homogenate was centrifuged at 6000 s g for 25 min at 4 °C and *A* trated using a Millipore π ter (pore size 0.45 µm). This π trate was the original viral uid that was used for the inactivation tests afterwards. To measure the infectivit of this virus uid, 10-fold serial dilutions of the, uid were made from 10^4 to 10^9 and **Attack** using a Millipore **The filter** (pore $\dot{\mathbf{S}}$ e 0.45 μ m). These diluted uids were injected into each of 30 health crayfish (average body weight 20.0 g) at the dose of 0.1 mL/cra \int sh. Mortality and clinical signs were observed dail for two weeks.

2.3. Inacti ated WSSV

0.2 M binar_eth_lenimine (BEI) was prepared b_c_cli ation of 0.2 M 2-bromoeth Jamine-HBr in 0.2 M NaOH at 37 σ for 1 h. The β -naphthol violet (a, pH indicator) was added to the solution to check the formation of BEI which causes a change in colour from violet to orange. This solution was added to $10¹$ WSSV dilution as 1:100 (v/v) to a concentration of 2 mM. The solution was incubated at 37 °C with continuous stirring for 6 h, 12 h, 18 h, 24 h and the reaction was stopped b_addition of sodium thiosulphate. And 0.3 M BEI solution prepared b_the same wa_was added to 10 diluted WSSV solution to a concentration of 3 mM and incubated at 37 °C with continuous stirring for 24 h and the reaction was stopped by addition of sodium thiosulphate.

For preparation of heat-inactivated WSSV, the viral suspension was diluted 10-fold in TNE and inactivated for 15 min at 65° C.

For in vivo injection e periment the health cray sh collected from stock were divided into nine groups (30 crayfish per group per tank). For test the safet_of BEI and heat-inactivated WSSV si groups of 30 cray on were intramuscularl (IM) injected with 0.1 mL inactivated WSSV solution. A group of 30 cray sh was IM injected with 0.1 mL' of WSSV (10 dilution) which were heated at 37 °C for 24 h to test its effects on WSSV. The positive control groups were injected with 0.1 mL of WSSV (10 dilution) and the negative control was injected with 0.1 mL of TNE solution (Table 1).

Tab₁ Effect of BEI and various temperature tested on WSSV infectivit in Procambarus clarkii.

Ciui Kii.					
Group	Treatment	Dead/tested	Mortalit_(%)		
2 mM BEI					
1	3				

2.4. Electron microscop and SDS-PAGE

BEI-inactivated WSSV and heat-inactivated WSSV particles were negativel stained with 2% sodium phosphotungstate (PTA, pH 7.0) on collodion-carbon coated grids. All observations were made with a JEOL 1230 transmission electron microscope (JEOL, Japan) operating at 70 kV. The two kinds of inactivated WSSV were anal²zed b sodium dodec_L sulfate-pol_acr_lamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) according to Laemmli [\[35\]](#page-4-0). The gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue (0.1% Coomassie Blue R-250 in 1% acetic acid and 40% methanol). A premi ed protein molecular weight marker (Fermentas), with proteins ranging from 14.4 to 116 kDa, was co-electrophoresed to determine the molecular weights of the WSSV proteins.

2.5. PCR anal sis for WSSV

Total DNA was e tracted from walking legs of crayfish with an animal tissue genomic DNA mini-prep kit (Sangon, Shanghai). The samples were tested with one primer set VP28-FW (5'-CGCACA GACAATATCGAGAC-3') and VP28-RV (5'-GTCTCAGTGCCAGAGTAG GT-3'), amplif_ing part of WSSV VP28 gene, was used to screen for WSSC-positive animals. PCR was performed with the VP28 primer pair using the following protocol: 5 min at 94 °C followed b₃35 c_{ycl}es at 94 °C for 1 min, 55 °C for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min. The PCR products were anal²ed by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide and visuall ed b_ultraviolet transilluminator.

2.6. Vaccination e periments

For vaccination e periment 12 groups of 30 inter-molt cray on with an average weight of 20 g were selected. Before starting the vaccination e periment the crayfish were tested for the presence of WSSV by one step PCR. Six groups of crayfish were vaccinated by IM injection with 0.1 mL of 2mM BEI, 3mM BEI and heat-inactivated WSSV and the controls were IM injected with 0.1 mL of 2mM BEI, 3mM BEI and TNE solution. Seven days after the initial vaccination, three groups and their control groups were IM injected with 0.1 mL of WSSV dilution (1×10^7) for the challenge test. Another three groups and their control groups were challenged b_the same wa at 21st da post-vaccination (dpv) ([Table 2\)](#page-2-0).

2.7. Statistical anal sis

The mortalities of the tested and control groups were compared statisticall using the chi-square test (χ^2) at a signi**turally convenent of** 5%. The relative percent survival (RPS) values were calculated

 \mathbf{Tab} 2

Resistance against e perimental WSSV infection in Procambarus clarkii vaccinated with BEI or heat-inactivated WSSV.

Time of challenge	Dead/tested	Mortalit $(%)$	RPS(%)	P-value
7 dpv				
2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV	7/30	23	77	$0.000*$
Control	30/30	100		
3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV	11/30	37	63	$0.000*$
Control	30/30	100		
Heat-inactivated WSSV	27/30	90	10	0.609
Control	30/30	100		
21 dpv				
2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV	12/30	40	60	$0.000*$
Control	30/30	100		
3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV	21/30	70	30	$0.021*$
Control	30/30	100		
Heat-inactivated WSSV	29/30	97	3	0.831
Control	30/30	100		

Control group cra \int_{0}^{∞} sh were injected with TNE solution mi ed with BEI or not. Significant difference (5% level) compared with the corresponding unvaccinated group in indicated $b \biguparrow^*$.

according to Amend [\[36\].](#page-4-0) Cumulative mortalities, RPS values and Pvalues were determined at the termination (24th day) of challenge test made seven da_s after the last vaccination.

3. R

3.1. Measurement of iral infecti it (ID_{50})

The results of viral infectivit $\Box(\text{LD}_{50})$ as measured b \Box the mortalit_y of injected cray ish showed 100% in all test groups until 10⁷ of the serial dilutions, but showed 55% for the 10⁸ dilution group. Therefore, the LD_{50} value of the original viral uid was estimated to be 10⁸ dilution. So we used 1×10^{7} dilution of WSSV as the challenge dose in the challenge test.

3.2. Inacti ation of WSSV

IM injection e periment showed that WSSV had been inactivated b_r BEI completel_s and the solution was safet for oral vaccination ([Table 1\)](#page-1-0). 2 mM BEI resulted in mortalities of 100% in 6 and 12 h, 90% in 18 h and 100% in[']24 h. The result reveals that BEI can inactivate WSSV in temperature of 37 \degree C and the proper concentration of 2 mM and 3 mM BEI also inactivated WSSV completel No mortalit was recorded in the negative control and the positive control resulted in mortalities of 100% on the 17th da_mThe cumulative mortalities in the 37 °C heated WSSV group indicated WSSV could keep the infectivit even e posed to 37 °C over 24 h. So only BEI as the inactivant contributes to the completel_inactivation of WSSV. WSSV could be completel_inactivated b_either 20 min e posure to 60 °C or 10 min e posure to 70 °C, but WSSV was not inactivated by even 30 min e posure to 50 °C [\[33\]](#page-4-0). Although aquatic viruses receive thermal protection from the natural aqueous environment as water temperature is seldom higher than 35 °C, WSSV showed a resistance to high temperature under 60 \degree C and the resistance is as stronger as in lower temperature. In this stud $_{\bigstar}$ WSSV could be completel, inactivated b_o 65 °C for 15 min.

3.3. Electron microscop

The TEM microphotograph showed that the envelopes of 2 mM and 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV seem to have a little changed compared with the puri \mathbb{R}^d WSSV ([Fig. 1\)](#page-3-0), but the virus particles are intact basicall But the envelope of WSSV was destroyed badl and the nucleocapsid was e posed to the outer in heat-inactivated WSSV ([Fig. 1](#page-3-0)).

3.4. SDS-PAGE anal sis

The SDS-PAGE anal_sis showed that more than ten bands in the puri**fied WSSV and 2 mMBEI-inactivated WSSV, but only five bands** in the 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV ([Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). In the purified WSSV and 2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV, the bands of VP15, VP19, VP28 and VP281 obviousl_e ist. In 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV onl_the bands of VP26 and VR28 were obvious. No protein was found in linear heat-inactivated WSSV ([Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0).

3.5. Vaccination e periment

The time–mortalit \Box relationships in the vaccination e periment are shown in [Fig. 3](#page-4-0). The cumulative mortalit percentage (CMP) of the groups vaccinated with 2 mM BEI, 3 mM BEI, and heatinactivated WSSV and challenged at 7 dpv was 23%, 37% and 90% respectively (Table 2, [Fig. 3a](#page-4-0)). Calculated RPS values were 77%, 63% and 10% for the groups vaccinated with 2 mM BEI, 3 mM BEI, and heat-inactivated WSSV (Table 2). Signi**ticantl_lower mortalit** $(P< 0.05)$ in the 2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV (23%) and 3 mM BEIinactivated WSSV (37%) vaccinated group compared with its control group (100%). But no signition differences in cumulative mortalities were observed between the groups injected with heatinactivated WSSV and its control group.

The CMP of the groups vaccinated with 2 mM BEI, 3 mM BEI, and heat-inactivated WSSV vaccinated groups challenged at 21 dpv were 40%, 70% and 97% respectivel (Table 2, [Fig. 3b](#page-4-0)). Calculated RPS values were 60%, 30% and 3% for the groups vaccinated with 2 mM BEI, 3 mM BEI, and heat-inactivated WSSV (Table 2). Significantl_lower mortalities ($P < 0.05$) were observed in the 2 mM BEIinactivated WSSV (40%) and 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV (70%) vaccinated group compared with its control group (100%).

4. D c

Recentl various agents, i.e. inactivated WSSV, antibacterial components, and subunit recombinant envelope proteins tried so far against WSSV have shown encouraging results [\[14–18,22–](#page-4-0) [24,27,37,38\]](#page-4-0). Now more and more report indicated that envelope proteins, especiall_VP28, could offer shrimp protection against WSSV infection [\[22–25\]](#page-4-0). In this investigation we found that the protection ef cac of inactivated WSSV is dependent on the integrit of envelope proteins as previous report in the vaccination trial of $\sqrt{\text{eternar}}$ virus [\[30,32,39,40\]](#page-4-0). We also found that the protection will decrease along with day after last vaccination like previous reports [\[23,27\].](#page-4-0)

The Electron microscop showed that ma be the envelope of WSSV is changed a little in 2 mM and 3 mM BEI ([Fig. 1](#page-3-0)). And the SDS-PAGE anal sis indicated that higher than 2 mM concentration of BEI ma_mchange the envelope proteins of WSSV more [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). The loss of envelope protein in 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV mage plain the signi**ficant difference among the RPS of 2 mM BEI, and heat**inactivated WSSV. Because envelope proteins have been continued that could protect the crustaceans against WSSV. In this stud_wwe think that 2 mM BEI can inactivate WSSV completel and preserve the integrit \bullet of envelope proteins in optimum. The significant differences ($P \lt 0.05$) between BEI and heat-inactivated WSSV ma_{rb}e e plained b_{ot}he loss of envelope proteins, which provided the protection against WSSV.

No' mortalit was recorded in vaccinated crayfish before the challenge test indicated that the inactivated WSSV vaccine was safet for vaccine cray sh as confirmed in the inactivation experiment. The challenge test made on the 7th and 21st dpv resulted in signi**A** cantla lower mortalit μ $P < 0.05$) in the 2 mM and 3 mM BEIinactivated WSSV vaccinated, group compared with its control

F. 1. Electron microscopic view of negativel stained, normal WSSV particle (A), 2 mM BEI- inactivated WSSV particle (B), 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV particle (C) and heatinactivated WSSV (D). (A. bar = 200 nm; B–D. b $\overline{\mathrm{at}}$ = 100 nm).

F . 2. Fifteen percent Coomassie brilliant blue-stained SDS-PAGE gel of purified WSSV and BEI-inactivated WSSV. Lane 1. Purised WSSV. Lane 2. Protein marker (14.4 kDa, 18.4 kDa, 25 kDa, 35 kDa, 45 kDa, 66.2 kDa and 116.0 kDa). Lane 3. 2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV. Lane 4. 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV. Lane 5. Heat-inactivated WSSV.

group (100%). However, the RPS on 21st dpv showed a signiturant difference between 2 mM and 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV. The difference ma_s be e amined b_{os}the damages in the envelope proteins of WSSV that showed in Electron microscop and SDS-PAGE. Electron microscop_showed that a little damage happened to the envelope proteins of WSSV in 2 mM and 3 mM BEIinactivated WSSV but heat at 65 °C for 15 min destro ed the envelope proteins completel (Fig. 2). The anal sis of SDS-PAGE indicated that in the linear of 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV the band of VP28 was not obvious and the band of VP15, VP19 and VP281 could not be found compared within the linear of 2 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV. The envelope of heat-inactivated WSSV particle was destro**ye**d may explained that no proteins was found in the linear of heat-inactivated WSSV (Fig. 1d, Fig. 2). The high cumulative mortalit_in the heat-inactivated WSSV vaccinated group indicated that heat-inactivated WSSV did not provide a protection from WSSV, and Namikoshi et al. also reported the same result [\[27\].](#page-4-0) So we think that the protection provided b_{un} inactivated WSSV is dependent on the integrit of envelope proteins of WSSV.

Our results showed that vaccinating cray on with BEI-inactivated WSSV would protect the cray in against WSSV seven days after the last vaccination. Cray on P. clarkii is susceptible to WSSV as penaeid shrimp and WSSV caused more than 90% mortalit_in cray on through oral challenge and IM injection [\[15\].](#page-4-0) Cray injected with 3 mM BEI-inactivated WSSV showed no bad signs and

F . 3. Time–mortalit_relationship of e-periment 1. Juvenile cranticle were vaccinated inactivated WSSV followed b_oviral challenge at (A) 7 da_{ns} and (B) 21 da_{ns} postvaccination. Cumulative mortality rates of shrimp from the experimental groups, as indicated in [Table 2](#page-2-0) are plotted against time (18-da_period) after challenge.

be active like normal crayfish in this study. We believe that vaccination with

BEI-inactivated WSSV was successful in protecting crayfin against WSSV, similar **indings were also obtained by Namikoshi et al.** using formalin-inactivated WSSV [27]. In conclusion, cray of can be induced a resistance to WSSV by BEI-inactivated WSSV, but BEI in concentration of above 2 mM will damage the envelope proteins of WSSV and reduce the protective efticacy of BEI-inactivated WSSV. These results confirm that the protective enticacy of vaccine made of BEI-inactivated WSSV lies on the integrit_of envelope proteins of WSSV and reveal the possibility of vaccination of P. clarkii with BEIinactivated WSSV.

Ac

The authors thank the management of Feed Science Institute, Zhejiang Universit_for providing the facilities to carr_out this work. We also appreciate Jian Hong, Linlin Fu for their **va**luable advice. This research' was supported buther Planned Science and Technolog_Project of Zhejiang Province, China (No. 2008C32034).

$\mathbf R$

- [1] Escobedo Bonilla CM, Alday Sanz V, Wille M, Sorgeloos P, Pensaert MB, Nauwynck HJ. A review on the morphology, molecular characterization, morphogenesis and pathogenesis of white spot syndrome virus. J Fish Dis 2008;31:1–18.
- [2] Yang F, He J, Lin X, Li Q, Pan D, Zhang X, et al. Complete genome sequence of the shrimp white spot bacilliform virus. J Virol 2001;75:11811–20.
- [3] van Hulten MCW, Witteveldt J, Peters S, Kloosterboer N, Tarchini R, Fiers M. The
- white spot syndrome virus DNA genome sequence. Virology 2001;286:7–22. [4] van Hulten MCW, Goldbach RW, Vlak JM. Three functionally diverged major structural proteins of white spot syndrome virus evolved by gene duplicatio J Gen Virol 2000;81:2525–9.
- [5] Marks H, Goldbach RW, Vlak JM, van Hulten MCW. Genetic variation among isolates of white spot syndrome virus. Arch Virol 2003;149:673–97.
- [6] Wang YC, Lo CF, Chang PS, Kou GH. E perimental infection of white spot baculovirus in some cultured and wild decapods in Taiwan. Aquaculture 1998;164:221–31.
- [7] Lo CF, Ho CH, Peng SE, Chen CH, Hsu HC, Chiu YL. White spot syndrome baculovirus (WSBV) detected in cultured and captured shrimp, crabs and other arthropods. Dis Aquat Org 1996;27:215–25.
- [8] Jiravanichpaisal P, Bang eekhun E, Soderhall K, Soderhall I. E. perimental
infection of white spot syndrome virus in freshwater crayfish Pacifastacus
leniusculus. Dis Aquat Org 2001;47:151–7.
- [9] May MA. A summary of taxonomic changes recently approved by ICTV. Arch Virol 2002;147:1655–6.

[10] Chen X, Chen P, Wu D. Study on a new bacilliform virus in cultured shrimps.

Sci China Ser 1997;C27:415–20.
-
- [11] Zhan WB, Wang YH, Fr**eer JL, Yu KK, Fukuda H, Meng QX. White spot sendrome**
virus infection of cultured shrimp in China. J Aquat Anim Health 1998;10: 405–10.
- [12] Arala Chaves M, Sequeira T. Is there an kind of adaptive immunit in inver-
tebrates? Aquaculture 2000;191:247–58.
- [13] Kurt J, Fran K. Innate defence: evidence for memor in invertebrate immunit Nature 2003;425:37–8. $\begin{bmatrix} 14 \end{bmatrix}$ Du HH, Xu ZR, Wu XF, Li WF, Dai W. Increased resistance to white spot
- s **n**drome virus in *Procambarus clarkii* b injection of envelope protein VP28
e pressed using recombinant baculovirus. Aquaculture 2006;260:39 43.
[15] Jha RK, Xu ZR, Bai SJ, Sun JY, Li WF, Shen J. Protection of *Procamba*
- against white spot syndrome virus using vaccine e pressed in Pichia pastoris.
Fish Shell**fish Immunol 2006;22:295–307.**
- [16] Jha RK, Xu ZR, Shen J, Bai SJ, Sun JY, Li WF. The ef**ficac_{y of recombinant vaccines**
against white spot syndrome virus in *Procambarus clarkii*. Immunol Lett} 2006;105:68–76.
- [17] Xu ZR, Du HH, Xu YX, Sun JY, Shen J. Crayfish *Procambarus clarkii* protected against white spot syndrome virus by oral padministration of viral proteins e pressed in silkworms. Aquaculture 2006;253:179–83.
- [18] Fu LL, Li WF, Du HH, Dai W, Xu ZR. Oral vaccination with envelope protein
- VP28 against white spot symbol wirus in *Procambarus clarkii* using *Bacillus* subtrilis as deliver we hicles. Nett Appl Microbiol 2008;46:581 6.
[19] van Hulten MCW, Witteveld J. Snippe M, Vlak JM. White spot symbol expr
- Virolog 2001;285:228–33. [20] Cha al N, Gerlier D. Virus entry, assembly, budding, and membrane rafts. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2003;67:226–37.
- [21] Yi G, Wang Z, Qi Y, Yao L, Qian J, Hu L. Vp28 of shrimp white spot syndrome virus is involved in the attachment and penetration into shrimp cells. J Biochem Mol Biol 2004;37:726–34.
- [22] Witteveldt J, Cifuentes C, Vlak JM, van Hulten MCW. Protection of Penaeus monodon against white spot syndrome virus by oral vaccination. J Virol 2004;78:2057–61.
- [23] Witteveldt J, Vlak JM, van Hulten MCW. Protection of Penaeus monodon against white spot syndrome virus using a WSSV subunit vaccine. Fish
Shelløsh Immunol 2004;16:571–9.
- [24] Vaseeharan B, Prem Anand T, Murugan T, Chen JC. Shrimp vaccination trials with the VP292 protein of white spot syndrome virus. Lett Appl Microbiol 2006;43:137–42.
- [25] Li LJ, Yuan JF, Cai CA, Gu WG, Shi ZL. Multiple envelope proteins are involved in white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) infection in crayfish. Arch Virol 2006;114:1–9.
- [26] Teunissen OSP, Faber R, Booms GHR, Latscha T, Boon JH. In luence of vaccination on vibriosis resistance of the giant black tiger shrimp Penaeus monodon (Fabricius). Aquaculture 1998;164:359–66.
- [27] Namikoshi A, Wu JL, Yamashita T, Nishi awa T, Nishioka T, Armoto M, et al. Vaccination trials with Penaeus japonicus to induce resistance to white spot
-
- s ndrome virus. Aquaculture 2004;229:25 35.
[28] Brown F. Inactivation of viruses by a iridines. Vaccine 2002;20:322 7.
[29] Groseil C, Guerin P, Adamowië P. Evaluation by polymerase chain reaction on
the effect of betapro 1995;23:213–20.
- [30] Bahnemann HG. Binar eth Jenimine as an inactivant for foot and mouth disease virus and its application for vaccine production. Arch Virol 1975;47:47–56.
- [31] Bahnemann HG. Inactivation of viruses in serum with binar eth leneimine. J Clin Microbiol 1976;2:209–10.
- [32] Aarthia D, Raoa KA, Robinsonb R, Srinivasan VA. Validation of binar eth eneimine (BEI) used as an inactivant for foot and mouth disease tissue culture vaccine. Biologicals 2004;32:153–6.
- [33] Balasubramanian G, Sudhakaran R, S ed Musthaq, Sarathi M, SalulHameed AS. Studies on the inactivation of white spot s and consulting (WSSV) of shrimp b _ph sical and chemical treatment, and seaweed e tracts (wSSV) of
-
-
- of bacteriophage T4. Nature 1970;227:680–5.
[36] Amend DF. Potenc, testing of **Find vaccines. In: Anderson DP, Hennessen W, editors. Fish biologics: serodiagnostics and vaccines. Basel: S. Karger; 1981. p.** 447–54.
-
- [37] Bright Singh IS, Manjusha M, Somnath Pai S, Philip Rosamma. Fenneropenaeus
indicus is protected from white spot disease by oral administration of inacti-
valed white spot syndrome virus. Dis Aquat Org-2005;66:205 70.

-
- [39] Habib M, Hussain I, Irshad H, Yang ZZ, Shuai JB, Chen N. Immunogenicit of formaldeh de and binar eth Jenimine inactivated infectious bursal disease virus in broker chicks. J Zhejiang Univ Sci B 2006;7:660 4.
[40] Hab